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Validation of an automatic system (DoubleCage) for detecting the location of animals during preference tests
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Abstract
Preference tests have often been performed for collecting information about animals’ acceptance of environmental refinement objects. In numerous published studies animals were individually tested during preference experiments, as it is difficult to observe group-housed animals with an automatic system. Thus, videotaping is still the most favoured method for observing preferences of socially-housed animals. To reduce the observation workload and to be able to carry out preference testing of socially-housed animals, an automatic recording system (DoubleCage) was developed for determining the location of group-housed animals in a preference test set-up. This system is able to distinguish the transition of individual animals between two cages and to record up to 16 animals at the same time (four animals per cage). The present study evaluated the reliability of the DoubleCage system. The data recorded by the DoubleCage program and the data obtained by human observation were compared. The measurements of the DoubleCage system and manual observation of the videotapes are comparable and significantly correlated (P < 0.0001) with good agreement. Using the DoubleCage system enables precise and reliable recording of the preferences of group-housed animals and a considerable reduction of animal observation time.
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Preference tests (choice tests), as well as consumer demand tests (strength of preference), have been used in many studies in different fields. Laboratory animal scientists often perform preference tests for evaluating specific environmental aspects. During tests animals have free access to the examined resources and are allowed to express their preferences (based upon location). Currently, preference tests and consumer demand tests are often applied in order to evaluate environmental refinement designs. These methods are frequently performed for understanding animals’ preferences between two (or more) objects or housing conditions.1–6 For observing the location of animals video analysis is the most applied method, which is extremely time-consuming. Besides human observation, possible techniques for automated registration, such as infrared recorder, tracking system based on video, ultrasonic or radio frequency, have been considered for detecting the location or movement of animals.7–11 Automatic systems have been developed using light detectors (photoelectric cells, photo beam) to observe the movement of mice during preference tests (e.g. Blom et al.12, Fitchett et al.13) or consumer demand tests (e.g. Sherwin7, Warburton and Nicol14). Other possibilities such as electric balances15 or micro-switches16 have also been applied for registering the positions and transition of animals. However, none of these studies were able to detect individual animals when a social group was tested.

As group housing is recommended for social species such as mice, preference tests using group-housed animals are therefore considered as a necessary framework for further studies. However to perform preference tests using group-housed animals it would be necessary to analyse videotapes repeatedly for each individual animal in the same cage. This process is extremely time-consuming, especially when a long-term preference test is implemented, and has great limitations such as inefficiency and slow progress.

To overcome such limitations and be able to perform preference tests with socially-housed animals, an automatic system for detecting the location of each individual animal would be very helpful. For this purpose automated techniques, such as transponder (implantable radio frequency microchips) or video-tracking systems, have been reviewed. Although both systems are able to detect the location of animals, the transponder can identify individual animals more easily (e.g. animals need to be dyed for identification on a video-tracking system) and register more animals at the
same time. It was therefore decided to focus on an automatic system based on the transponder system.

The system (DoubleCage) was designed in cooperation with the University of Zurich to meet the requirements of our institute. The system should be able to continuously monitor/record the location of animals and providing continual, real-time data access; even quick movements should potentially be detected.

The experimental set-up, the DoubleCage (Figure 1), consisted of two Makrolon® cages (32.5 × 16.5 × 14 cm) connected by a Perspex tube (ø 3 cm, 30 cm long). Microchip antennas (sensors) were installed at both ends of the connecting tunnel to register the transition and position of mice individually. Animals were able to move freely between the two cages, the movements of an individual mouse between the two cages being registered automatically (real-time-data, in seconds) by microchip sensors and transferred to the computer. As there were two antennas, the crossing direction could also be detected automatically. To avoid possible data misreporting the experiment was set up in such a way that the transition of an individual animal was only counted when detected by both antennas. This system could register up to 16 animals (four per cage) at the same time. Temperature and light intensity were also recorded during the experiment.

To ensure reliability and accuracy, the present study validated the data recorded by the DoubleCage system, comparing these with the results of a videotape analysis (manual). Two inbred strains, BALB/cOlHaHsd and C57BL/6JoLaHsd female mice obtained from Harlan Winkelmann (Borchen, Germany), were chosen for the present study. These animals were used for the FELASA training purposes (total n = 39).

Even though there were some misreported transitions (0.93%), in most of the cases the program was able to correct the data automatically after the mice had moved again to another cage. The final misreported crossing was 0.26%. No statistical difference could be detected between the data collected with the DoubleCage program and those of the videotapes. For analysing the correlation between both sets of data, the data from all experiments were pooled. Due to the failure of microchip implantation, the data from five mice were incomplete. Thus, these data were not included for statistical purposes (total n = 39).
and the dwelling in both cages). However, it should be mentioned that two extreme cases were found for the 12 h test during the light phase. After the misreporting both mice did not move again until the end of recording; therefore, the program was not able to correct the mistake automatically. This led to a slightly reduced correlation between the visual and automatic observations. Such errors can be avoided/reduced if the active period of the animals (dark phase) is included in the analysis.

According to the presented results the DoubleCage system can correctly determine the locations of each individual of group-housed animals during preference test and provide reliable results.
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